C.F 100=00

BEFORE THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH
AT BILASPUR

W.P. No. 1500 /2001

PETITIONER : Suresh Sidara S/o- Shri Pritam Sidara, Aged-30 yrs, R/o-Near Manohar Talkies, Bilaspur (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1. STATE OF CHHATTISGARH
Through Secretary Home Dept. Secretariate, Raipur.
2. The Director Gen. of Police (C.G.), Raipur.
3. Inspector Gen. of Police, Bilaspur range, Bilaspur.
4. Supdt. of Police , Distt. Bilaspur.
5. Town Inspector, City Police Kotwali, Bilaspur.

P.R. No. 15-3507
Presented by Shri V.P. Pimpalkar
Date 16.8.2001

Petition U/S 226/227 of the Constitutional Law Of India

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(49)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No.1500/2001 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	16.10.2001 Heard Shri Vipin Punjabi, learned counsel for the petitioner. Shri Gautam Bhaduri, learned Government Advocate for the respondent / State. Return has been filed. Shri Gautam Bhaduri submits that interim order by which police protection is given to the petitioner and the members of his family should be withdrawn inasmuch as action has been initiated by the Competent Authority against the person who is said to have threatened the petitioner. It is further submitted by Shri Gautam Bhaduri that infact case has been registered against the said Neelkanth Kesari and after investigation Charge Sheet also has been submitted. Hence, proceedings are now pending against the said Neelkanth Kesari. Since police has already taken action in accordance with the provisions of law, it is not desirable that interim order issued on 29.8.2001 should continue inasmuch as to provide police protection to individuals in the event of some threat said to have been held out by some persons will become impossible for the State.	

[पीछे देखिये

आदेश पत्रक (पुर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	The petitioner can always seek legal protection if any imminent danger is apprehended at any time. Infact, the petitioner should approach the Competent Authority for police protection if such protection is required in a particular situation. It is stated that as many as 16 cases are pending against the said person. It is for the Competent State Authority to take any action which is warranted under the relevant provisions of law for the time being in force in the State including the provisions of M.P.Rajha Suraksha Adhiniyam, 1980. In view of the reasons given above, this petition is disposed of. Certified copy as per rules. **Sd/-** **Chief Justice**	